arXiv:1609.05635v1 [math.GR] 19 Sep 2016

FINITE GROUPS WITH COPRIME FIXED-POINT-FREE AUTOMORPHISMS AND APPLICATIONS

LEI WANG AND YIN LIU

Abstract. We study a class of finite groups G which behave similarly to elementary abelian p-groups with p prime, that is, there exists a subgroup N such that all elements of $G \setminus N$ are conjugate or inverse-conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. In this paper, we show that such groups are solvable. As an application, we characterise a class of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of G, which are complete multipartite graphs.

Keywords. Solvable group, REA group, Cayley graph, Frobenius group

1. Introduction

For a finite group G, if there exists some proper subgroup N such that all elements of $G\setminus N$ are conjugate or inverse-conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ (that is, for any $a, b \in G\setminus N$, a is conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ to b or b^{-1}, respectively), we call such group G a *relative elementary abelian group*, or simply call an *REA group* for short. To emphasise the subgroup N, we sometimes call it an REA group relative to N.

From the definition of REA groups, each element of $G \setminus N$ acts fixed-point-freely on the set consisting of the elements of G of order coprime to that of such element.

Definition 1.1. For a finite group G, an automorphism σ of G is said to be coprime fixed-point-free if σ does not centralize any element of G of order coprime to the order of σ.

Problem A. Whether a finite group admitting a coprime fixed-point-free automorphism of prime power order is solvable.

The study of this problem has a long history in the literature. In 1950s, J. G. Thompson [22] showed that a finite group which admits a fixed-point-free automorphism of prime order is nilpotent. Since then, scholars has studied this class of finite groups, see [11, 12, 15] for the work before 1980s. In 1990s, P. Rowley [17] proved that if a finite group A acts fixed-point-freely on a finite group G, then G is solvable provided that A is cyclic or $(|A|, |G|) = 1$. In this paper, we answer this problem for the so-called REA groups, which in some sense complements the work of [14].

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a finite group, and let $N < G$. If G is an REA group with respect to N, then G is solvable.*

Another motivation of studying REA groups is to characterize a family of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, defined below, and covered in more detail in Section 8.

Date: September 20, 2016.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20B05, 20D05, 05C25.

Definition 1.3. A graph Γ is called a *normal edge-transitive Cayley graph* if Γ is a Cayley graph of some group G and the normaliser $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}\Gamma}(G)$ is transitive on the set of edges of Γ.

For positive integers m and b, we denote by $\mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ a complete multipartite graph which has m parts of equal size b. It is known that $\mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ is an edge-transitive Cayley graph. We are interested in the question under what condition it is normal edge-transitive.

Problem B. Construct and characterise normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs $\mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ on some finite groups.

This problem is reduced to the problem of studying finite REA groups, refer to [14, Theorem 1.4]. Applying Theorem 1.2, we have the following interesting conclusion.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ be a complete multipartite graph, where m and b are positive integers. If $\mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ is a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph of a group G, then G is solvable.*

Finally, we classify a family of Frobenius REA groups, which gives rise to the complete bipartite graphs which are normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs.

For positive integers a, m, a divisor r of $a^m - 1$ is called a *primitive divisor* if r does not divide $a^i - 1$ for $i < m$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $G = V{:}H \cong \mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{Q}_{2^n}$ be a Frobenius group, where d is an integer, p is a prime, and $n \geqslant 4$ is an integer. Let ℓ be an integer such that 2^{n-1} is a primitive divisor of $p^\ell - 1$. Then we have*

(i) *$V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_t$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} V_i = \ell$ or 2ℓ;*
(ii) *each $V_i{:}\mathrm{Q}_{2^n}$ is a Frobenius group;*
(iii) *G is an REA group relative to $V{:}\mathrm{C}_{2^{n-1}}$.*

In subsequent work, a classification will be given of Frobenius REA groups.

2. Preliminary

In this section we introduce some definitions and quote some preliminary results which will be used later.

We first define some notation. For a positive integer n, denote by C_n a cyclic group of order n. For two groups N and K, denote by $N{:}K$ and $N \times K$ the split extension of N by K and the direct product of N and K, respectively. For a group G, we sometimes write $\frac{1}{2}G$ to denote the unique index 2 subgroup of G.

As usual, E_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, and A^{T} is the transpose of A. We write $\mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ for the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ with $a_{ii} = a_i$ for all i and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. We write $\mathrm{antidiag}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$ for the matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{n\times n}$ with $a_{i,n-i+1} = a_i$ for all i and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

We now recall some properties obtained in [14].

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be an REA group with respect to N. Then*

(i) *$N \lhd G$, and $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$, where r is a prime and d is a positive integer;*
(ii) *Each element of $G \setminus N$ is of the same order r^ℓ and centralises no element of N of order coprime to r.*

This parameter r will be called the *index* of the REA group.

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be an REA group of index r with respect to N. Then each element $g \in G \setminus N$ induces an automorphism of a Sylow p-subgroup of G with $p \neq r$ which is a fixed-point-free automorphism.*

Proof. Let G be an REA group of index r with respect to N. Then $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^e$ is an r-group. Let $g \in G \setminus N$, and let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Then P and P^g are Sylow subgroups of N. Thus $P = P^{gx}$ where $x \in N$. Since $gx \in G \setminus N$, it is conjugate to g or g^{-1} under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. Hence gx and g have equal order, which is a power of r. It implies that gx fixes no element of P. The statement follows. □

Let G be a finite simple group of Lie type over field $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. Then G as a linear group admits field automorphisms induced by automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite simple group of Lie type. Then each field automorphism of G of prime-power order fixes at least one element of G of coprime order.*

Proof. Let σ be a field automorphism of G of order r^ℓ with r prime. Assume first that G is a Chevalley group. Let G be defined over field $\mathrm{GF}(p^e)$, where e is an integer. Then the order r^ℓ divides the power e, and σ centralises the subgroup H of G which is defined over $\mathrm{GF}(p^{e/r^\ell})$. By definition, the order of H is divided by at least two distinct prime numbers. It follows that σ centralizes an element of H of order coprime to r. The statement holds.

Assume now that G is a Steinberg group. Then $G = {}^2\mathrm{A}_n(p^{2e})$, ${}^2\mathrm{D}_n(p^{2e})$, ${}^2\mathrm{E}_6(p^{2e})$ or ${}^3\mathrm{D}_4(p^{3e})$, where $e \geqslant 1$. For $G = {}^2\mathrm{A}_n(p^{2e})$, when $n \geqslant 3$, each field automorphism of G centralizes $\mathbf{C}_{\frac{n+1}{2}}(p)$ or $\mathrm{B}_{\frac{n}{2}}(p)(\mathbf{C}_{\frac{n}{2}}(p)$ if $p = 2)$, according to whether n is odd or even; when $n = 2$, each field automorphism of G centralizes a subgroup of G, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(3, p)$. For the last three groups, each field automorphism of G centralizes respectively $\mathrm{B}_{n-1}(p)$, $\mathrm{F}_4(p)$ and $\mathrm{G}_2(p)$, refer to [18]. Therefore, σ fixes at least one element of G of order coprime to r.

Assume finally that G is a Suzuki or Ree group. Then $G = {}^2\mathrm{B}_2(2^{2e+1})$, ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2^{2e+1})$ or ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3^{2e+1})$, where $e \geqslant 1$. By [4], each field automorphism of G centralizes ${}^2\mathrm{B}_2(2)$, ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)$ and ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3)$, respectively. So does σ. Thus the statement follows. □

Recall that the *socle* of G (denoted by $\mathsf{soc}(G)$) is the product of all minimal normal subgroups of G. Evidently, $\mathsf{soc}(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup of G.

For a finite group H, and an integer ℓ, we mean by H^ℓ the direct product of ℓ copies of H.

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite group with $\mathsf{soc}(G) = T^\ell$, where T is a non-abelian simple group, and ℓ is a positive integer. Then $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(T^\ell)$.*

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Let $A = \mathsf{Aut}(G)$, and $I = \mathsf{Inn}(G)$. For any $\tilde{g} \in I$, by $\tilde{g}$ we mean the action $\tilde{g}$ on G induced by g by conjugation, where $g \in G$. Choose any $\sigma \in \mathbf{C}_A(I)$. Then $\sigma^{-1}\tilde{g}\sigma = \tilde{g}$. It follows that for any $x \in G$, we have $x^{\sigma^{-1}\tilde{g}\sigma} = x^{\tilde{g}}$. It implies that $g(g^\sigma)^{-1}$ fixes G pointwise, and hence $g^\sigma = g$. So $\sigma = 1$, and $\mathbf{C}_A(I) = 1$.

Let $S = \mathsf{soc}(G)$. Then $\mathbf{C}_G(S) = 1$. By the above paragraph, we may identify G with I a normal subgroup of A. Since S is a characteristic subgroup of G, it follows that $S \trianglelefteq A$. Let $C := \mathbf{C}_A(S)$. Then $C \trianglelefteq A$, and hence $G \cap C = 1$. It follows that C

acts trivially on G, which implies that $C = 1$. Thus A acts faithfully on S, and so the statement follows. □

Finally, we show a result about orthogonal spaces, which will be used later.

Let V be an orthogonal space over field $\mathbb{F}$. Let Q be a quadratic form on V, and let β be its symmetric bilinear form. A pair of vectors (u, v) such that $Q(u) = Q(v) = 0$, and $\beta(u, v) = 1$ is called a hyperbolic pair. The plane $\langle u, v\rangle$ is called a hyperbolic plane.

Lemma 2.5. *Let V be an orthogonal space over field $\mathrm{GF}(p^e)$. Let Q be a quadratic form on V, and let β be its symmetric bilinear form. Let U be a hyperbolic plane in V. Then we have:*

(i) *if $p = 2$, there exists some $u \in U$ with $Q(u) \neq 0$;*
(ii) *if p is an odd prime, there exists two $v, w \in U$ such that $\beta(v, v)$ and $\beta(w, w)$ are square and non-square numbers, respectively.*

Proof. Let (u_1, v_1) be a hyperbolic pair in U. Then $\{u_1, v_1\}$ is a basis of U.

Assume first that $p = 2$. Let $u = u_1 + v_1$. Note that $\beta(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$ for any $x, y \in V$. Since both u_1 and v_1 are the singular vectors, we have $Q(u) = 1$, as in part (i).

Assume now that p is an odd prime. Let $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times} = \langle\omega\rangle$. If 2 is a square number in $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, we choose $v = u_1 + v_1$, and $w = \omega u_1 + v_1$. Otherwise, $v = \omega u_1 + v_1$, and $w = u_1 + v_1$, respectively. It is easily shown that such choices satisfy part (ii), the statement follows. □

3. Classical groups

Let G be an almost simple classical group of Lie type, defined over field $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, and let $T = \mathsf{soc}(G)$. Following [3], we shall use δ and δ' as diagonal automorphism generators, γ and τ as graph automorphism generators, and ϕ or φ as a field automorphism generator of T, and when they exist, specific matrices in $\mathrm{GL}(n, p^e)$ that induce them by conjugation. Moreover, we use symbols $\overline{\delta}$, $\overline{\delta'}$, $\overline{\gamma}$, $\overline{\tau}$, $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ to denote their images in $\mathsf{Out}(T)$. If necessary, we identify T with a normal subgroup of projective linear, symplectic, unitary or orthogonal group.

Lemma 3.1. *Let T be linear or unitary, and let $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ be such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ with r prime. Then, there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ such that, either*

(i) *σ is of order r-power and centralises an element of T of order coprime to r, or*
(ii) *$T = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^{2^a})$, $N = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^{a-1}}$, $G = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^a}$, and $r = 2$, where $a \geqslant 1$.*

Proof. Assume first that $T = \mathrm{PSL}(n, p^e)$ where $n \geqslant 3$. Then $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle{:}(\langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle) \cong \mathrm{C}_{(n,p^e-1)}{:}(\mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_e)$. By [3, p.34], we may choose δ to be induced by a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\overline{\omega}, 1, \ldots, 1)$, and γ is the duality automorphism $g \to (g^{-1})^{\mathrm{T}}$ of T, where $\overline{\omega} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times}$. Let $A = \mathrm{antidiag}(1, -1)$ and let $\alpha = \mathrm{diag}(E_{n-2}, A)$. For simplicity, we again denote by α the image of α in $\mathrm{PSL}(n, p^e)$. Clearly, δ, γ and ϕ centralize α. An element $\eta \in G \setminus N$ is of order r-power, and has the form $\eta = \phi^i\delta^j\gamma^k$ for some integers i, j, k. Let $\sigma = \eta$ or $\alpha\eta$, according to whether $\gamma^k = 1$ or not. In the latter

case, we have that $r = 2$. Let $o(\phi^i) = f$. Set

$$H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} E_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \mid M \in \mathrm{SL}(2, p^{\frac{e}{f}}) \right\rangle.$$

Then $H \cong \mathrm{SL}(2, p^{\frac{e}{f}})$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}(n, p^e)$. As a matter of convenience, we again denote by H the image of H in $\mathrm{PSL}(n, p^e)$. It is easily shown that σ fixes H pointwise. Since $|H|$ is not a power of a prime, the statement holds.

Assume now that $T = \mathrm{PSL}(2, p^e)$. For this case, we choose $\sigma = \phi^i\delta^j$. where $\overline{\delta^j}$ in $\mathsf{Out}(T)$ has order dividing $(2, p-1)$ and ϕ^i has order f dividing e. By Lemma 2.3, $\overline{\delta^j} \neq 1$, and $r = 2$. Hence p is odd, and $f = 2^a$. If $a = 0$, we may choose δ^j to centralise elements $x_1, y_1 \in T$ where $o(x_1) = \frac{p^e-1}{2}$ and $o(y_1) = \frac{p^e+1}{2}$. It follows that $p^e = 3$, and so $e = 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $a \geqslant 1$. By [3, p.34], we choose δ^j to be induced by $\mathrm{diag}(\omega, 1)$, where $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times} = \langle\omega\rangle$. In $\mathrm{PGL}(2, p^e)$, write $\delta^j = \overline{\mathrm{diag}(\omega, 1)}$. Then both ϕ^i and δ^j centralise an element x_2 belonging to T where $o(x_2) = \frac{p^{e/f}-1}{2}$. So does σ. Let $y_2 = \overline{\mathrm{antidiag}(1, -1)}$. Set $\tau = \sigma y_2$. Then τ belongs to $G \setminus N$. However, τ^{2^a} belongs to T. By easy calculations, we conclude that τ^{2^a} is of order $p^{e/f} + 1$. To sum up, it follows that $p^{e/f} = 3$ and $e = f = 2^a$. So $T = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^{2^a})$, $N = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^{a-1}}$ and $G = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^a}$.

Finally, assume that $T = \mathrm{PSU}(n, p^e)$ where $n \geqslant 3$. Then $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle{:}\langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_{(n,p^e+1)}{:}\mathrm{C}_{2e}$, where $\phi^e = \gamma$, and γ is the duality automorphism $g \to g^{-\mathrm{T}}$ of T, refer to [3, p.34]. An element $\mu \in G \setminus N$ is of order r-power, and has the form $\mu = \phi^i\delta^j$, where i, j are integers. Set

$$L = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} E_{n-2} & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \mid M \in \mathrm{SU}(2, p) \right\rangle.$$

Then $L \cong \mathrm{SU}(2, p)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(n, p^e)$. Clearly, L is isomorphic to the image of L in $\mathrm{PSU}(n, p^e)$, again denotes by L the image. Consulting [3, p.34], we may choose δ to be induced by $\mathrm{diag}(\omega^{p^e-1}, 1, \ldots, 1)$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$, of order $p^{2e} - 1$. It follows that δ fixes L pointwise. Thus we may assume that $\phi^i \neq 1$, and so ϕ^i is of order r-power. From the definition of α, we obtain that α belongs to $\mathrm{PSU}(n, p^e)$. Let $\sigma = \mu\alpha^{2-(2,i)}$. In such a case, $r = 2$. Then σ is of order 2-power, and belongs to $G \setminus N$. By the definition of L, we conclude that σ fixes L pointwise, namely, σ fixes an element of T of odd order. Thus the statement follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T = \mathrm{PSp}(2n, p^e)$ where $n \geqslant 2$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ with r prime. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Suppose first that p is odd. Then by [3, p.34], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_e$, and δ can be chosen to be induced by a matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \omega E_n & 0 \\ 0 & E_n \end{bmatrix}$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^{\times}$. Let

$$H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A^{-\mathrm{T}} & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}(n, p) \right\rangle.$$

Then $H \cong \mathrm{GL}(n, p)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, p^e)$. Let $\overline{H}$ be the image of H in $\mathrm{PSp}(2n, p^e)$. It is easily shown that $\overline{H}$ is centralised by both δ and ϕ. Thus there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power, and fixes $\overline{H}$ pointwise. Since $|\overline{H}|$ is not a power of a prime, the statement holds.

Suppose now that $p = 2$. Again by [3, p.34], $\mathsf{Aut}(T) = T{:}\langle\gamma\rangle \cong T.\mathrm{C}_{2e}$ and $\gamma^2 = \phi$. According to [4], γ centralizes a subgroup $\mathrm{Sz}(2)$ of T. It follows that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power, which fixes $\mathrm{Sz}(2)$ pointwise. Thus the statement follows. □

Definition 3.3. *Let V be an orthogonal space over the field $\mathbb{F}$. Let Q be a quadratic form on V, and let β be its symmetric bilinear form. Let $v \in V$ be non-singular. We define the reflection $r_v : V \to V$ by $r_v(x) = x - \frac{\beta(v,x)}{Q(v)}v$.*

Let V be an orthogonal space over field $\mathbb{F}$. Let β be its symmetric bilinear form. Two subsets J and K of V are said to be orthogonal if $\beta(x, y) = 0$ for all $x \in J$ and $y \in K$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $T = \Omega(2n+1, p^e)$ with $n \geqslant 3$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where p is an odd prime, and r is a prime. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Let V be an orthogonal space of dimension $2n + 1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ equipped with a symmetric bilinear form β. By [13, Proposition 2.5.3], there is a basis $\{u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n, x\}$ in V such that (u_i, v_i) is a hyperbolic pair and orthogonal to other hyperbolic pairs and x. In what follows, we may identify T with $\Omega(V)$, and the elements of T with the matrices with respect to this ordered basis.

By [3, p.34], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_e$. Then an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ is of order r-power, and has the form $\delta^i\phi^j$ for two integers i, j. By Lemma 2.5, there exist two elements $u, v \in \langle u_1, v_1\rangle$ such that $\beta(u, u)$ and $\beta(v, v)$ are square and non-square numbers of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^\times$, respectively. Again by [3, p.34], we can choose $\delta = r_u r_v$, namely, $\delta = \mathrm{diag}(A, E_{2n-1})$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, p^e)$. Let

$$H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{bmatrix} \mid M \in \Omega(2n-1, p) \right\rangle.$$

Set $W = \langle u_2, v_2, \ldots, u_n, v_n, x\rangle$. Then H stabilizes W setwise. By [13, Lemma 4.1.1], $H \cong \Omega(2n-1, p)$ is a subgroup of $\Omega(V)$. It is easily shown that both δ and ϕ fix H pointwise. So does σ. Since H is unsolvable, the statement follows. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $T = \mathrm{P}\Omega^+(2n, p^e)$ with $n \geqslant 5$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$, where p, r are primes. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Let V be an orthogonal space of dimension $2n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, which is of plus type. Let Q be a quadratic form on V, and let β be its symmetric bilinear form with the form matrix B. By [13, Proposition 2.5.3], there exists a basis $\{u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n\}$ in V such that each (u_i, v_i) is a hyperbolic pair and orthogonal to other hyperbolic pairs. Reordering if necessary, we may identify $\Omega^+(2n, p^e)$ with $\Omega^+(V)$, and the elements of $\Omega^+(2n, p^e)$ with the matrices relative to the above ordered basis.

Suppose first that $p = 2$. Consulting [3, p.37], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_e \times \mathrm{C}_2$. An element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ is of order r-power, and has the form $\gamma^i\phi^j$ for two integers i, j. By Lemma 2.5, there is some x in $\langle u_1, v_1\rangle$ with $Q(x) \neq 0$. By [13, Proposition 2.7.3], we may choose $\gamma = r_x$, namely, $\gamma = \mathrm{diag}(A, E_{2n-2})$, where $A \in \mathrm{GL}(2, 2^e)$. Let

$$H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \mid C \in \Omega^+(2n-2, 2) \right\rangle.$$

Set $W = \langle u_2, v_2, \ldots, u_n, v_n\rangle$. Then H stabilizes W setwise. By [13, Proposition 4.1.6], $H \cong \Omega^+(2n-2,2)$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+(V)$. It is easily shown that both γ and ϕ fix H pointwise. So does σ. That is to say, σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.

Suppose now that p is an odd prime. If $p^{en} \equiv 1 \,(\mathsf{mod}\ 4)$, $\mathsf{Out}(T) = (\langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\delta'}\rangle){:}(\langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle) \cong \mathrm{D}_8 \times \mathrm{C}_e$; and if $p^{en} \equiv -1 \,(\mathsf{mod}\ 4)$, $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_{2e}$, refer to [3, p.34]. In such a case, we reorder the above basis as $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n, \ldots, v_1\}$. With respect to this ordered basis, $B = \mathrm{antidiag}(1, \ldots, 1)$. Applying Lemma 2.5, there are two elements u, v in $\langle u_1, v_1\rangle$ such that $\beta(u,u)$ and $\beta(v,v)$ are respectively square and non-square numbers of $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^\times$. For two cases, we choose δ and γ to be induced respectively by $\mathrm{diag}(\omega E_n, E_n)$ and r_u, where ω belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^\times$. If $\overline{\delta'} \neq 1$, we may choose $\delta' = r_u r_v$, refer to [3, p.35].

Let $B' = \mathrm{antidiag}(1, \ldots, 1)$ belong to $\mathrm{GL}(n-1,p)$. Set

$$L = \langle \mathrm{diag}(1, B'D^{-\mathrm{T}}B', D, 1) \mid D \in \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{GL}(n-1,p)\rangle.$$

By the definition, $L^{\mathrm{T}}BL = B$, and hence $L \leqslant \mathrm{SO}^+(V)$. Let $W = \langle u_2, \ldots, u_n\rangle$. Then W is a totally isotropic space of V, and fixed setwise by L. By [13, Proposition 4.1.20], L is a subgroup of $\Omega^+(V)$. For this case, we again denote by L the image of L in $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+(V)$.

Note that δ is induced by $\mathrm{diag}(\omega E_n, E_n)$, we obtain that δ centralises L. Since γ is induced by $\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 & a_2 \\ 0 & E_{2n-2} & 0 \\ a_3 & 0 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ for each i, we conclude that γ also fixes L pointwise,. Arguing similarly as above with δ' in place of γ, we obtain that δ' centralises L. So does $\langle\delta, \delta', \gamma, \phi\rangle$. Therefore, there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power, which centralises an element of T of order coprime to r. The statement follows. □

Lemma 3.6. *Let $T = \Omega^-(2n, 2^e)$ with $n \geqslant 4$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where r is a prime. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Let V be an orthogonal space of dimension $2n$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2^e}$, which is of minus type. By [13, Proposition 2.5.3], there exists a basis $\{u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}, x, y\}$ in V such that each (u_i, v_i) is a hyperbolic pair, and orthogonal to other hyperbolic pairs and $\langle x, y\rangle$. In what follows, we may identify T with $\Omega^+(V)$, and the elements of T with the matrices with respect to this ordered basis.

By [3, p.37], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\varphi}\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{2e}$. Then an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ is of order r-power, and has the form φ^i, where i is an integer. By [13, Proposition 2.8.2], we may choose φ to be ϕ followed by conjugation by $\mathrm{diag}(E_{2n-2}, J)$, where ϕ is a field automorphism of order e, and $J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \zeta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ with $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{2^e}^\times$. Let

$$H = \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & E_2 \end{bmatrix} \mid A \in \Omega^+(2n-2,2) \right\rangle.$$

Set $W = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}\rangle$. Clearly, H stabilizes W setwise. By [13, Proposition 4.1.6], $H \cong \Omega^+(2n-2,2)$ is a subgroup of $\Omega^-(V)$. It is easily shown that φ fixes H pointwise. So does σ. Since H is unsolvable, the statement follows. □

For the groups $\mathrm{P}\Omega^-(2n,p^e)(p$ odd) and $\mathrm{P}\Omega^+(8,p^e)$, their outer automorphism groups are more complicate, so we use another method to treat such groups.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simple Lie algebra over the complex field. We denote by Φ and Π respectively the sets of roots and fundamental roots relative to a fixed ordering. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has a Chevalley basis $\{h_a, a\in\Pi; e_a, a\in\Phi\}$. Let $K=\mathrm{GF}(p^e)$ be a finite field. Define $x_a(t)=\exp(t\,\mathrm{ad}\,e_a)$, where $a\in\Phi$, and $t\in K$. Let $T=\langle x_a(t)\,|\,a\in\Phi, t\in K\rangle$. Chevalley shows that, with a few exceptions, T is simple, refer to [5] or [6]. Later, Steinberg constructed families of groups by taking fixed points of T of a product of a diagram and a field automorphism, which are also simple unless a few exceptions and also denoted generically by T. As a matter of convenience, we shall use P and Q denote the additive groups generated by $\mathfrak{g}$'s all fundamental roots and all fundamental weights, respectively, unless specified otherwise.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega^-(2n,p^e)$ with $n\geqslant 4$, and $T\leqslant N\lhd G$ such that $G/N\cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where p is an odd prime, and r is a prime. Then there exists $\sigma\in G\setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Clearly, $T\cong {}^2\mathrm{D}_n(p^{2e})$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $T={}^2\mathrm{D}_n(p^{2e})$. If $p^{en}\equiv -1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 4)$, $\mathsf{Out}(T)=\langle\overline{\delta}\rangle{:}\langle\overline{\varphi}\rangle\cong \mathrm{D}_8\times\mathrm{C}_e$; and if $p^{en}\equiv 1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 4)$, $\mathsf{Out}(T)=\langle\overline{\delta}\rangle\times\langle\overline{\varphi}\rangle\cong \mathrm{C}_2\times\mathrm{C}_{2e}$, refer to [3, p.37]. Thus, for some element $\eta\in G\setminus N$, $\eta=\delta_0\varphi_0$, where $\delta_0\in\langle\delta\rangle$ and $\varphi_0\in\langle\varphi\rangle$. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\delta_0\neq 1$, and hence $r=2$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type D_n, where $n\geqslant 4$. Let a be a fundamental root of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that a is adjacent to other three fundamental roots. If $t\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$, both $x_a(t)$ and $x_{-a}(t)$ belong to T, refer to [5, Proposition 13.6.3]. Let $H=\langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t)\,|\,t\in\mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. Then H is a subgroup of T. By the definition, $x_{\pm a}(t)^\varphi=x_{\pm a}(t^\varphi)=x_{\pm a}(t)$ for any $t\in\mathbb{F}_p$, and hence the field automorphism φ fixes H pointwise. For the diagonal automorphism δ_0, we know that δ_0 is induced by a self-conjugate $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$-character χ of P, refer to [5]. Then $\chi(a)=\chi(a)^{p^e}$, and hence $\chi(a)$ belongs to $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. By Hartley's Lemma, there exists some $h(\psi)\in T$ with $\psi(a)=\chi(a)^{-1}$. By [5, Theorem 13.7.2], ψ is a self-conjugate $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$-character of Q. Thus $x_a(t)^{h(\psi)}=x_a(\psi(a)^{-1}t)$. Let $\mu=h(\psi)\eta$. Then μ belongs to $G\setminus N$. By the definition, we have, for any $t\in\mathbb{F}_p$, that

$$x_a(t)^\mu=x_a(((\psi(a)^{-1}\chi(a)^{-1})t)^{\varphi_0})=x_a(t),$$
$$x_{-a}(t)^\mu=x_{-a}(((\psi(-a)^{-1}\chi(-a)^{-1})t)^{\varphi_0})=x_{-a}(((\psi(a)\chi(a))t)^{\varphi_0})=x_{-a}(t).$$

It follows that μ fixes H pointwise, which implies that there exists some $\sigma\in G\setminus N$ of order 2-power such that σ centralizes H. Since $H\cong(\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathrm{p})$ (if $H\cong\mathrm{SL}(2,p)$ or $H\cong\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$), the statement follows. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let $T=\mathrm{P}\Omega^+(8,p^e)$, and $T\leqslant N\lhd G$ such that $G/N\cong\mathrm{C}_r^d$ with r prime. Then there exists $\sigma\in G\setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Since $T\cong\mathrm{D}_4(p^e)$, we may identify T with $\mathrm{D}_4(p^e)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type D_4. Let ρ_γ and ρ_τ be two symmetries of the $\mathfrak{g}$'s Dynkin diagram of order 2 and 3, respectively. Let a be a fundamental root corresponding to the centre node of the Dynkin diagram. Then, for any $g\in\langle\rho_\gamma,\rho_\tau\rangle$, we have $a^g=a$. Let γ and τ be two graph automorphisms of $\mathrm{D}_4(p^{2e})$ induced by ρ_γ and ρ_τ, respectively.

Assume first that $p=2$. Then $\mathsf{Out}(T)=\langle\overline{\gamma},\overline{\tau}\rangle\times\langle\overline{\phi}\rangle=\mathrm{S}_3\times\mathrm{C}_e$, refer to [3, p.37].

Let $H = \langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{F}_2\rangle$. By the definition, $x_{\pm a}(t)^\phi = x_{\pm a}(t^\phi) = x_{\pm a}(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_2$, and thus ϕ fixes H pointwise. By [5, Proposition 12.2.3], we obtain that

$$x_a(1)^\gamma = x_{a^{\rho\gamma}}(1) = x_a(1) \text{ and } x_a(1)^\tau = x_{a^{\rho\tau}}(1) = x_a(1),$$

whence

$$x_{-a}(1)^\gamma = x_{-a^{\rho\gamma}}(1) = x_{-a}(1) \text{ and } x_{-a}(1)^\tau = x_{-a^{\rho\tau}}(1) = x_{-a}(1).$$

It follows that both γ and τ centralize H. It implies that there exists some $\sigma \in G\setminus N$ of order r-power, which centralizes H. Since $H \cong \mathrm{SL}(2,2)$, the statement follows.

Assume now that p is odd. Again by [3, p.37], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = ((\langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\delta'}\rangle){:}\langle\overline{\gamma},\overline{\tau}\rangle)\times\langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{S}_4 \times \mathrm{C}_e$, and hence, for some $\eta \in G \setminus N$, we have $\eta = \delta_0\gamma_0\phi_0$ where $\delta_0 \in \langle\delta,\delta'\rangle$, $\gamma_0 \in \langle\gamma,\tau\rangle$ and $\phi_0 \in \langle\phi\rangle$. Let $L = \langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. Arguing similarly as above along with [5, Proposition 12.2.3], we choose γ, τ and ϕ such that γ, τ and ϕ centralize L. If $\delta_0 = 1$, then η centralizes L because η belongs to $\langle\gamma,\tau,\phi\rangle$. It follows that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ fixes L pointwise. Thus $\delta_0 \neq 1$. By [5], there exists some $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$-character χ of P such that δ_0 is induced by χ, namely, $x_b(t)^{\delta_0} = x_b(\chi(b)^{-1}t)$ for any root b. By [5, Lemma 11.1.3], there is a $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$-character ψ of Q with $\psi(a) = \chi^{-1}(a)$. According to [5, Theorem 7.1.1], there is some $h(\psi) \in T$ such that $h(\psi)$ is induced by ψ, that is, $x_b(t)^{h(\psi)} = x_b(\psi(b)^{-1}t)$ for each root b. Let $\theta = h(\psi)\eta$. Then θ belongs to $G \setminus N$. For any $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$x_a(t)^\theta = x_a(((\chi(a)\psi(a))^{-1}t)^{\phi_0}) = x_a(t),$$
$$x_{-a}(t)^\theta = x_{-a}(((\chi(-a)^{-1}\psi(-a)^{-1})t)^{\phi_0}) = x_{-a}(((\chi(a)\psi(a))t)^{\phi_0}) = x_{-a}(t).$$

It follows that θ centralizes L, and hence it furthermore implies that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ fixes L pointwise. Since $|L|$ is not a power of a prime, the statement follows. □

4. Exceptional groups

Let $T \leqslant G \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(T)$, where T is a non-abelian simple group. In this section, we begin with considering the case where T is an exceptional group of Lie type.

Following [3], we continue to use δ as diagonal automorphism generator, γ as graph automorphism generator, and ϕ or φ as a field automorphism generator of T. At the same time, we use $\overline{\delta}$, $\overline{\gamma}$, $\overline{\phi}$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ to denote their images in $\mathsf{Out}(T)$.

Note first that if T is one among of $\mathrm{F}_4(p^e)$ $(p \neq 2)$, $\mathrm{G}_2(p^e)$ $(p \neq 3)$, ${}^3\mathrm{D}_4(p^{3e})$, $\mathrm{E}_8(p^e)$, ${}^2\mathrm{B}_2(2^{2e+1})$, ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3^{2e+1})$ and ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2^{2e+1})$, where e is a positive integer, then the only outer automorphisms of T are field automorphisms, refer to [23]. By Lemma 2.3, each field automorphism of T of prime-power order fixes at least one element of T of coprime order. Thus we only need to treat the case where T is the other exceptional group of Lie type.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $T =^2 \mathrm{F}_4(2)'$, and $T \lhd G$ such that $G/T \cong \mathrm{C}_2$. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus T$ of order 2-power such that σ centralises an element of T of odd order.*

Proof. See Atlas [7]. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T = \mathrm{F}_4(2^e)$ or $\mathrm{G}_2(3^e)$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ with r prime. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. By [5, p.225], $\mathsf{Aut}(T) = T{:}\langle\gamma\rangle \cong T.\mathrm{C}_{2e}$, and $\gamma^2 = \phi$, where ϕ is a field automorphism of order e. In view of [4], γ fixes ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)$ or ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3)$ pointwise, according to whether $T = \mathrm{F}_4(2^e)$ or $\mathrm{G}_2(3^e)$. It implies that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power, which centralizes ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)$ or ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3)$. Since both ${}^2\mathrm{F}_4(2)$ and ${}^2\mathrm{G}_2(3)$ are unsolvable, the statement holds. □

Recall that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple Lie algebra over the complex field. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type E_6 or E_7. In what follows, we always denote by Π and Φ respectively the sets of fundamental roots and roots relative to a fixed ordering, and by P and Q the additive groups generated by $\mathfrak{g}$'s all fundamental roots and all fundamental weights, respectively, unless specified otherwise.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $T = \mathrm{E}_6(p^e)$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$, where p, r are primes, and e is an integer. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. Assume first that 3 does't divide $p^e - 1$. By [23, p.172], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_e$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type E_6, and let ρ_γ be a symmetry of the $\mathfrak{g}$'s Dynkin diagram. Let a be a fundamental root belonging to Π such that $a^{\rho_\gamma} = a$. By [5, Proposition 12.2.3], we may choose γ to be induced by ρ_γ such that $x_a(t)^\gamma = x_{a^{\rho_\gamma}}(t) = x_a(t)$, whence $x_{-a}(t)^\gamma = x_{-a}(t)$ where $t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$. Set $H = \langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. Consequently, both γ and ϕ fix H pointwise. It follows that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power, and σ centralizes H. Since $H \cong (\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathrm{p})$, the statement holds.

Assume now that 3 divides $p^e - 1$. Again by [23, p.172], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle{:}(\langle\overline{\gamma}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle) = \mathrm{C}_3{:}(\mathrm{C}_2 \times \mathrm{C}_e)$. By the above paragraph, both γ and ϕ centralise H. Thus, for some $\eta \in G \setminus N$, we may assume that $\eta = \delta^i\gamma^j\phi^k$ where i, j, k are integers.

By [5], we know that δ^i is induced by a $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$-character χ of P, that is, $x_b(t)^{\delta^i} = x_b(\chi(b)^{-1}t)$ for any root b. According to [5, Lemma 11.1.3], there is a $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$-character ψ of Q such that $\psi(a) = \chi(a)^{-1}$. By [5, Theorem 7.1.1], there exists some $h(\psi)$ belonging to T which is induced by ψ, namely, $x_b(t)^{h(\psi)} = x_b(\psi(b)^{-1}t)$ for each root b. Let $\mu = h(\psi)\eta$. Then, for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$x_a(t)^\mu = x_a(((\psi(a)\chi(a))^{-1}t)^{\phi^k}) = x_a(t), \text{ and}$$
$$x_{-a}(t)^\mu = x_{-a}(((\psi(-a)\chi(-a))^{-1}t)^{\phi^k}) = x_{-a}(((\psi(a)\chi(a))t)^{\phi^k}) = x_{-a}(t).$$

It follows that μ fixes H pointwise, which implies that there exists some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralizes an element of T of order coprime to r. The statement follows. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $T = {}^2\mathrm{E}_6(p^{2e})$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where r, p are primes, and e is an integer. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. By [23, p.173], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle{:}\langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_{(3,p^e+1)}{:}\mathrm{C}_{2e}$. Thus, for some $\eta \in G \setminus N$, $\eta = \delta^i\phi^j$, where i, j are integers. By Lemma 2.3, we only need to treat the case where $\delta^i \neq 1$. For this case, 3 divides $p^e + 1$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type E_6. Let ρ_γ be a symmetry of the $\mathfrak{g}$'s Dynkin diagram. Let a and $\overline{a}$ be the two non-consecutive fundamental roots belonging to Π with $a^{\rho_\gamma} = \overline{a}$. Let $x_S(t) = x_a(t)x_{\overline{a}}(t^{p^e})$, and $x_{-S}(t) = x_{-a}(t)x_{-\overline{a}}(t^{p^e})$. By [5, Proposition 13.6.3], both $x_S(t)$ and $x_{-S}(t)$ belong to T. Let $H = \langle x_S(t), x_{-S}(t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. By [5], we know

that δ^i is induced by a self-conjugate $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$-character χ of P. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$, we obtain

$$x_S(t)^{\delta^i} = x_a(\chi(a)^{-1}t)x_{\overline{a}}(\chi(\overline{a})^{-1}t^{p^e}), \text{ and}$$
$$x_{-S}(t)^{\delta^i} = x_{-a}(\chi(-a)^{-1}t)x_{-\overline{a}}(\chi(-\overline{a})^{-1}t^{p^e}).$$

By Hartley's Lemma, there exists some $h(\psi) \in T$ with $\psi(a) = \chi(a)^{-1}$. According to [5, Theorem 13.7.2], ψ is a self-conjugate $\mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$-character of Q. Let $\theta = h(\psi)\eta$. Clearly, θ belongs to $G \setminus N$. Note that $\nu(\overline{a}) = \overline{\nu(a)} = \nu(a)^{p^e}$, where $\nu = \chi$ or ψ. Then, for $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$, we have

$$x_S(t)^\theta = x_a(((\psi(a)\chi(a))^{-1}t)^{\phi^j})x_{\overline{a}}(((\overline{\psi(a)}\ \overline{\chi(a)})^{-1}t)^{\phi^j}) = x_S(t),$$
$$x_{-S}(t)^\theta = x_{-a}(((\psi(a)\chi(a))t)^{\phi^j})x_{-\overline{a}}(((\overline{\psi(a)}\ \overline{\chi(a)})t)^{\phi^j}) = x_{-S}(t).$$

It follows that θ fixes H pointwise. Let $o(\theta) = r^k m$ and let $\sigma = \theta^m$, of order r^k, where $(r, m) = 1$. Then σ centralizes H. Note that $[x_{\pm a}(t), x_{\pm\overline{a}}(t)] = 1$ for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{2e}}$. Let $L = \langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. Then $L \cong (\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathrm{p})$, and hence $|H|$ is not a power of a prime, the statement follows. This completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $T = \mathrm{E}_7(p^e)$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$, where p, r are primes, and e is an integer. Then there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r.*

Proof. By [23, p.177], $\mathsf{Out}(T) = \langle\overline{\delta}\rangle \times \langle\overline{\phi}\rangle = \mathrm{C}_{(2,p^e-1)} \times \mathrm{C}_e$. Then an element η of $G\setminus N$ has the form $\delta^i\phi^j$, where i, j are integers. By Lemma 2.3, we may assume that $\delta^i \neq 1$, and hence $r = 2$. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be of type E_7. Let a be any fundamental root belonging to $\mathfrak{g}$. Set $H = \langle x_a(t), x_{-a}(t) \mid t \in \mathbb{F}_p\rangle$. By the definition, $x_{\pm a}(t)^\phi = x_{\pm a}(t^\phi) = x_{\pm a}(t)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and hence ϕ fixes H pointwise. Arguing similarly as Lemma 4.3, there exists some $h(\chi)$ belonging to T such that $h(\chi)\delta^i$ fixes H pointwise. So does $h(\chi)\eta$. Therefore, there exists some element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order 2-power, and σ centralizes H. Since $H \cong (\mathrm{P})\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathrm{p})$, the statement follows. □

5. Alternating groups

Let G be almost simple, and let $T = \mathsf{soc}(G)$. In this section, we begin at considering the case where T is an alternating group.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $T = \mathrm{A}_n$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where r is a prime. Then, there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power such that, either*

(i) *σ centralises an element of T of order coprime to r, or*
(ii) *$N = T = \mathrm{A}_6$, $G = \mathrm{M}_{10}$, and $r = 2$.*

Proof. Suppose first that $n \neq 6$. Then $\mathsf{Aut}(T) \cong \mathrm{S}_n$. In such a case, $N = T$ and $G \cong \mathrm{S}_n$, and hence $r = 2$. For some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$, we may choose σ to be induced by some 2-cycle. It follows that σ centralizes an element of T of odd order.

Suppose now that $n = 6$. Then $T = \mathrm{A}_6 \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, 9)$. By Atlas [7], either there exists $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order 2-power centralizing an element of T of odd order or $N = T$, $G = \mathrm{M}_{10}$ and $r = 2$, and thus the statement follows. □

6. Sporadic simple groups

Let $T \leqslant G \leqslant \mathsf{Aut}(T)$, where T is a non-abelian simple group. In this section, we will deal with the case where T is a sporadic simple group.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_2$. Then there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order 2 such that σ centralises an element of T of odd order.*

Proof. Inspecting the list of sporadic simple groups, when T is one of the following groups:

$$\mathrm{M}_{11},\ \mathrm{M}_{23},\ \mathrm{M}_{24},\ \mathrm{J}_1,\ \mathrm{J}_4,\ \mathrm{Co}_1,\ \mathrm{Co}_2,\ \mathrm{Co}_3,\ \mathrm{Fi}_{23},\ \mathrm{Ru},\ \mathrm{Ly},\ \mathrm{Th},\ \mathbb{B},\ \mathbb{M},$$

we obtain $T = N = G$, and these groups are not our candidates. Thus we only need to consider the remaining sporadic simple groups

$$\mathrm{M}_{12},\ \mathrm{M}_{22},\ \mathrm{McL},\ \mathrm{HS},\ \mathrm{Suz},\ \mathrm{J}_2,\ \mathrm{J}_3,\ \mathrm{Fi}_{22},\ \mathrm{Fi}'_{24},\ \mathrm{HN},\ \mathrm{He},\ \mathrm{O'N}.$$

For these simple groups, we conclude that $N = T$, and $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_2$. By Atlas [7], there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order 2 such that σ centralises an element of T of odd order. This competes the proof. □

7. Proof of Theorem 1.2

After finishing some preliminaries, we will prove our main result (Theorem 1.2) in this section.

Proposition 7.1. *Let G be an almost simple group with $\mathsf{soc}(G) = T$, and $T \leqslant N \lhd G$ such that $G/N \cong \mathrm{C}_r^d$ where r is a prime. Then we have either*

(i) *there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ of order r-power centralising an element of T of order coprime to r, or*
(ii) *$T = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^{2^a})$, $N = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^{a-1}}$, $G = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^a}$ and $r = 2$, where $a \geqslant 1$. In particular, there exist two elements in $G \setminus N$ of distinct orders.*

Proof. By Lemmas 3.1-6.1, there exists an element $\sigma \in G \setminus N$ such that, either σ is of order r-power and centralises an element of T of order coprime to r, or $T = \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^{2^a})$, $N = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^{a-1}}$, $G = T.\mathrm{C}_{2^a}$ and $r = 2$. Let δ and ϕ be diagonal automorphism and field automorphism generators of T, respectively. For the latter, $G = \langle T, \sigma\rangle$, where $\sigma = \phi\delta$, and σ^{2^a} belongs to T, refer to Lemma 3.1. Consulting [3, p.34], we choose δ to be induced by $\mathrm{diag}(\omega, 1)$, where $\mathbb{F}_{3^{2^a}}^{\times} = \langle\omega\rangle$. In $\mathrm{PGL}(2, 3^{2^a})$, write $\delta = \overline{\mathrm{diag}(\omega, 1)}$. Let $x = \overline{\mathrm{antidiag}(1, -1)}$. Set $\tau = \sigma x$. Then τ belongs to $G \setminus N$. By easy calculations, we obtain that σ and τ are of order 2^{a+1} and 2^{a+2}, respectively. Therefore, there exist two elements in $G \setminus N$ of distinct orders. This ends the proof. □

This has an immediate consequence regarding REA groups.

Corollary 7.2. *If G is an REA group, then G is not almost simple.*

With this preparation we are ready to embark on the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Since G is an REA group relative to N, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $N \lhd G$, and G/N is an elementary abelian group. Next, we will prove this conclusion by contradiction.

Assume that G is unsolvable. Then N is also unsolvable. Let $R(G)$ and $R(N)$ be the largest soluble normal subgroups of G and N, and so are characteristic subgroups of G and N, respectively. Thus $R(N) \leqslant R(G)$. On the other hand, it is evident that $R(G) \cap N \leqslant R(N)$, which forces that $R(G) \cap N = R(N)$. We in fact claim that $R(N) = R(G)$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $R(N) < R(G)$. Then there exists

some x_1 belonging to $R(G) \setminus N$. Clearly, x_1 is contained in $G \setminus N$. Take any x_2 in $N \setminus R(G)$. Then x_1x_2 belongs to $G \setminus N$, and hence $x_1^\alpha = x_1x_2$ or $(x_1x_2)^{-1}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathsf{Aut}(G)$. It follows that x_1x_2 belongs to $R(G)$, and thus x_2 belongs to $R(G)$, which contradicts x_2's choice, as claimed.

By [14, Lemma 2.2], $G/R(G)$ is an REA group with respect to $N/R(G)$. Thus we may assume that $R(G) = 1$. That is to say, each minimal normal subgroup of G is unsolvable. Let K be a minimal normal subgroup of G. Since $K \cap N \trianglelefteq G$, it follows that $K \leqslant N$. It furthermore implies that $\mathsf{soc}(G)$ is a subgroup of N.

Let $\mathsf{soc}(G) = T_1^{a_1} \times \cdots \times T_s^{a_s}$, where all T_i are pairwise non-isomorphic and non-abelian simple groups. Set $R = T_1^{a_1} \times \cdots \times T_{s-1}^{a_{s-1}}$. Then R is a characteristic subgroup of G, and hence G/R is an REA group relative to N/R, refer to [14, Lemma 2.2]. Thus we may assume that $\mathsf{soc}(G) = T^a$ where T is a non-abelian simple group. For that case, $\mathbf{Z}(G) = 1$. Then $G \cong \mathsf{Inn}(G)$, and hence we may identify G with $\mathsf{Inn}(G)$ a normal subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. By Lemma 2.4, we may identify $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ with a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(T^a)$, and so G is a subgroup of $\mathsf{Aut}(T^a)$. Note that $\mathsf{Aut}(T^a) = \mathsf{Aut}(T)^a{:}\mathrm{S}_a$. Then, for some $\sigma \in G \setminus N$, σ is of order p-power (p a prime), and has the form as

$$\sigma = (\ldots, \sigma_{i_1}, \sigma_{i_2}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_{k_i}}, \ldots)\pi, \text{ where } \sigma_i \in \mathsf{Aut}(T), \text{ and } \pi \in \mathrm{S}_a.$$

Assume first that $\pi \neq 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_m$, where $\pi_i = (i_1 i_2 \ldots i_{k_i})$, and $i_j = \Sigma_{n=0}^{i-1} k_n + j$ with $k_0 = 0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_i$. Recall that σ is of order p-power, it follows that for each i, we have that k_i is a p-power. In what follows, we assume that $k_1 \geqslant k_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$.

For any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, we claim that $\sigma_{i_j} \neq 1$ for some j. Suppose, to the contrary, that $\sigma_{i_j} = 1$ for all j. We need only consider the case $i = 1$. Essentially the identical proof works in the other cases. Choose $x \in T$ such that $o(x)$ is not a p-power. Let $\overline{\sigma} = (x, 1, \ldots, 1)\sigma$. Then $\overline{\sigma}$ belongs to $G \setminus N$. Clearly, the order of $\overline{\sigma}^{o(\pi)}$ is not a p-power. So is $\overline{\sigma}$, which is a contradiction, refer to Lemma 2.1, as claimed. Let $\tau_i = \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_{k_i}}$, and let $\delta_{i_j} = \sigma_{i_1}\cdots\sigma_{i_j}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, and $1 \leqslant j \leqslant k_i$. As a matter of convenience, we make a convention that $\delta_{i_0} = 1$ for each i. By easy calculations, we obtain that

$$\sigma^{k_1} = (\ldots, (\tau_i^{\delta_{i_0}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_i}}, (\tau_i^{\delta_{i_1}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_i}}, \ldots, (\tau_i^{\delta_{i_{k_i-1}}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_i}}, \ldots). \tag{1}$$

Assume that there exists some $\tau_i^{\delta_{i_j}}$ belonging to T. Clearly, τ_i belongs to T. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $i = 1$. For this case, we choose $y \in T$ such that $o(y)$ is not a p-power. Let $\hat{\sigma} = (\sigma_{1_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{1_{k_1-1}}, \sigma_{1_{k_1}}\tau_1^{-1}y, \sigma_{2_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i_j}, \ldots)$. Then $\hat{\sigma}$ belongs to $G \setminus N$. By a direct check, we have

$$\hat{\sigma}^{k_1} = (y^{\delta_{1_0}}, y^{\delta_{1_1}}, \ldots, y^{\delta_{1_{k_1-1}}}, (\tau_2^{\delta_{2_0}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}}, \ldots, (\tau_i^{\delta_{i_j}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_i}}, \ldots).$$

It follows that the order of $\hat{\sigma}^{k_1}$ is not a p-power. So is $o(\hat{\sigma})$, which is a contradiction. Thus none of $\tau_i^{\delta_{i_j}}$ belongs to T.

Let $H = \langle T, \tau_1\rangle$, and let $L = \langle T, \tau_1^p\rangle$. Then it is clear that H is almost simple. By the above paragraphs, $T \trianglelefteq L \lhd H$ and $H/L \cong \mathrm{C}_p$. By Proposition 7.1, there exists some $\nu \in T$ such that either $\tau_1\nu$ centralizes an element μ of T of order coprime to p or $\tau_1\nu$ and τ_1 have unequal order. For the former, let $\eta = \nu\mu$, and for the latter, let $\eta = \nu$. Then η belongs to T. Let $\tilde{\sigma} = (\sigma_{1_1}, \ldots, \sigma_{1_{k_1-1}}, \sigma_{1_{k_1}}\eta, \sigma_{2_1}, \ldots)\pi$. It is clear

that $\tilde{\sigma}$ belongs to $G \setminus N$. By equation (1), we have that

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{k_1} = ((\tau_1\eta)^{\delta_{1_0}}, (\tau_1\eta)^{\delta_{1_1}}, \ldots, (\tau_1\eta)^{\delta_{1_{k_1-1}}}, (\tau_2^{\delta_{2_0}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_2}}, \ldots, (\tau_i^{\delta_{i_j}})^{\frac{k_1}{k_i}}, \ldots).$$

Recall that G is an REA group relative to N. Then there exists some $\beta \in \mathsf{Aut}(G)$ such that $\sigma^\beta = \tilde{\sigma}$ or $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}$. It follows that $(\sigma^{k_1})^\beta = \tilde{\sigma}^{k_1}$ or $(\tilde{\sigma}^{k_1})^{-1}$. By Lemma 2.4, we may assume $\beta = (\ldots, t_{(i-1)_{k_{i-1}-1}}, t_{i_0}, t_{i_1}, \ldots, t_{i_{k_i-1}}, t_{(i+1)_0}, \ldots)\pi'$, where $t_{i_j} \in \mathsf{Aut}(T)$ and $\pi' \in \mathrm{S}_a$. In such a case, we conclude that either π' lies in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{S}_a}(\pi)$, or $\pi^{\pi'} = \pi^{-1}$.

To begin with, suppose that $\pi_1^{\pi'} = \pi_1$ or π_1^{-1}. By the above paragraphs, we have that $\tau_1^{\delta_{1_{i_0}} t_{1_{i_0}}} = \tau_1\eta$ or $(\tau_1\eta)^{-1}$ for some i_0. Note that σ has order p-power. So does τ_1. It follows that the order of $\tau_1\eta$ is a p-power, and equals to that of τ_1, which contradicts the choice of η.

Now suppose that $\pi_1^{\pi'}$ neither equals to π_1 nor π_1^{-1}. Relabelling if necessary, we assume that $\pi_i^{\pi'} = \pi_{i+1}$, or π_{i+1}^{-1}, reading the subscripts modular λ. Recall that $(\sigma^{k_1})^\beta = \tilde{\sigma}^{k_1}$ or $(\tilde{\sigma}^{k_1})^{-1}$, it follows that either

$$\tau_1\eta = \tau_\lambda^{\delta_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}} t_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}}},\ \tau_\lambda = \tau_{\lambda-1}^{\delta_{(\lambda-1)_{l_{\lambda-1}}} t_{(\lambda-1)_{l_{\lambda-1}}}}, \ldots, \text{ and } \tau_2 = \tau_1^{\delta_{1_{l_1}} t_{1_{l_1}}}$$

or

$$\tau_1\eta = (\tau_\lambda^{-1})^{\delta_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}} t_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}}},\ \tau_\lambda = (\tau_{\lambda-1}^{-1})^{\delta_{(\lambda-1)_{l_{\lambda-1}}} t_{(\lambda-1)_{l_{\lambda-1}}}}, \ldots, \text{ and } \tau_2 = (\tau_1^{-1})^{\delta_{1_{l_1}} t_{1_{l_1}}},$$

where $0 \leqslant l_j \leqslant k_1 - 1$ for each j. Set $\gamma = (\delta_{1_{l_1}} t_{1_{l_1}})(\delta_{2_{l_2}} t_{2_{l_2}}) \cdots (\delta_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}} t_{\lambda_{l_\lambda}})$. By the above two equations, we conclude that $\tau_1^\gamma = \tau_1\eta$ or $(\tau_1\eta)^{-1}$. It follows that the order of $\tau_1\eta$ and τ_1 is equal and of p-power, which again contradicts the choice of η. Hence G is solvable.

Assume now that $\pi = 1$. Arguing similarly as above, the same contradiction also occurs. Therefore, G is solvable. This completes the proof. □

8. Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs

A graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ consisits of a vertex set V and an edge set E. Γ is called *edge-transitive* if the full automorphism group $\mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$ of Γ acts transitively on its edge set E.

Let G be a group and S a non-empty subset of $G \setminus \{1\}$ such that $S = S^{-1} := \{s^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$. The *Cayley graph* of G with respect to S, denote by $\mathsf{Cay}(G, S)$, is the graph with vertex set G such that two vertices $x, y \in G$ are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. It is well-known that a graph is isomorphic to a Cayley graph of a group G if it has an automorphism group which is isomorphic to G and regular on its vertex set, see [2, Proposition 16.3] for reference.

Praeger in 1999 [16] has identified the so called *normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs* which as a family of central importance for understanding Cayley graphs in general. Such graphs have a subgroup of automorphisms which is transitive on edges and which normalises a copy of the group used to construct the Cayley graph.

Let $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(G, S)$, and let

$$\mathsf{Aut}(G, S) = \{\sigma \in \mathsf{Aut}(G) \mid S^\sigma = S\},$$

which is a subgroup of the automorphism group $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ and fixes the subset S setwise. Each element of $\mathsf{Aut}(G, S)$ induces an automorphism of the Cayley graph

Γ and fixes the vertex corresponding to the identity of G. An important property (see [10, Lemma 2.1]) for this subgroup is

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}\Gamma}(G) = G{:}\mathsf{Aut}(G,S),$$

the normaliser of the regular subgroup G in the full automorphism group $\mathsf{Aut}\Gamma$. In general, the subgroup $\mathbf{N}_{\mathsf{Aut}\Gamma}(G)$ is not necessarily edge-transitive on Γ. We thus have the following simple conclusion.

Lemma 8.1. *A Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(G,S)$ is a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph if and only if any two elements of S are conjugate or inverse-conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G,S)$.*

With these notions in hand, we start to show the other two main results of this paper.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: Let $\Gamma = \mathbf{K}_{m[b]}$ be a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph of a group G. By [14, Lemma 3.5], there exists some subgroup N of G of order b such that G is an REA group with respect to N. By Theorem 1.2, G is solvable. □

Finally, we study a family of Frobenius groups which are REA groups. A *Frobenius group* G has the form $G = V{:}H$ such that each non-identity element of H centralises no non-identity element of V, that is, $xy \neq yx$ for any $x \in V \setminus \{1\}$ and $y \in H \setminus \{1\}$, refer to [9]. In this case, the normal subgroup V is called the *Frobenius kernel*, and the subgroup H is called a *Frobenius complement* of G.

Let H be a subgroup of G and W a representation of G. We denote by $\mathrm{Res}(W)^G_H$ the restriction of W on H.

Now we consider Frobenius groups with the Frobenius kernel C_p^d, and a Frobenius complement Q_{2^n}, where p is a prime, and $d, n(\geqslant 4)$ are integers.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: By Maschke's Theorem, $V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_t$, where each V_i is an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module. By [19, Theorem 3.3], all V_i have the same dimension over $\mathbb{F}_p$, say k.

Let A be the maximal and cyclic subgroup of H. Then $A \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{n-1}}$. That is to say, A has index 2 in H. Let W_i be an irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_pA$-submodule of V_i. By Clifford's Theorem, we conclude that either $W_i = V_i$ or W_i has index 2 in V_i. It follows from [19, Theorem 3.3] that $k = \ell$ or 2ℓ, as in Lemma 1.5 (i).

Let G_i be the factor group of G modulo $\prod_{j\neq i} V_j$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Then $G_i = V_i{:}H_i$ where $H_i \cong H = \mathrm{Q}_{2^n}$, and it implies that $G_i \cong \mathrm{C}_p^k{:}\mathrm{Q}_{2^n}$ is a Frobenius group, as in Lemma 1.5 (ii).

Let $H_i = \langle x_i, y_i\rangle$, where $\langle x_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^{n-1}}$ and $\langle y_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_4$ for each i. The group $G = (V_1 \times \cdots \times V_t){:}H$ can be embedded in

$$(V_1{:}H_1) \times \cdots \times (V_t{:}H_t)$$

as a subgroup such that $H = \langle x, y\rangle$ where $x = x_1 \cdots x_t$ and $y = y_1 \cdots y_t$.

Recall that $n \geqslant 4$ is an integer. If G is an REA group relative to N, it is clear that $N = V{:}A = V{:}\langle x\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_p^d{:}\mathrm{C}_{2^{n-1}}$.

Assume first that $k = \ell$. Since A acts irreducibly on V_i, we conclude that $\langle x_i\rangle$ acts irreducibly on V_i, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. By [8, Proposition 2.5], we assume that H_i is a subgroup of L_i, where L_i is isomorphic to $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(1, p^\ell)$. Thus G_i is a subgroup of $V_i{:}L_i$ for each i. It implies that ℓ is even, and $p^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \equiv -1 \,(\mathsf{mod}\ 2^{n-1})$. Let $L_i = \langle\alpha_i\rangle{:}\langle\sigma_i\rangle$, where

σ_i is a Frobenius automorphism of order ℓ. By [8, Proposition 2.4], $x_i = \alpha_i^{\frac{(p^\ell-1)r_i}{2^{n-1}}}$ and $y_i = \sigma_i^{\frac{\ell}{2}}\alpha_i^{\frac{(p^{\frac{\ell}{2}}-1)\overline{r}_i}{2}}$, where $r_i, \overline{r}_i$ are odd. Let $\theta_i = \alpha_i^{\frac{(p^{\frac{\ell}{2}}+1)r_i\lambda}{2^{n-1}}}$ or $\alpha_i^{\frac{(p^{\frac{\ell}{2}}+1)(\lambda-2^{n-2})r_i}{2^{n-1}}}$, where $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 2^{n-1}-1$. For the former, $y_i^{\theta_i} = x_i^\lambda y_i$, and for the latter, $y_i^{\theta_i} = (x_i^\lambda y_i)^{-1}$. It follows that $G_i^{\theta_i} = G_i$, and hence θ_i induces an automorphism $\overline{\theta}_i$ of G_i, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Let $\overline{\theta} = \overline{\theta}_1\overline{\theta}_2\cdots\overline{\theta}_t$. Then $\overline{\theta}$ is an automorphism of G, and $y^{\overline{\theta}} = x^\lambda y$ or $(x^\lambda y)^{-1}$. So all elements of $G \setminus N$ are conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$ by Sylow's Theorem. That is to say, G is an REA group with respect to N.

Assume now that $k = 2\ell$. Since V_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH$-module and reducible $\mathbb{F}_pA$-module, we conclude that V_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH_i$-module and reducible $\mathbb{F}_p\langle x_i\rangle$-module, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. In this case, $\mathrm{Res}(V_i)^{H_i}_{\langle x_i\rangle} = V_{i1} \times V_{i2}$, and $V_{i1}^{y_i} = V_{i2}$, where V_{i1} and V_{i2} are non-isomorphic irreducible and faithful $\mathbb{F}_p\langle x_i\rangle$-modules, refer to [19, Theorem 3.3]. Recall that 2^{n-1} is a primitive divisor of $p^\ell - 1$. In what follows, we process our analysis by two cases.

Case 1: Assume that $p^\ell \equiv 1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 2^n)$. Now we claim that $\ell = 1$. If otherwise, then ℓ is a 2-power, and hence $p^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \equiv -1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 2^{n-1})$. Let χ_{i1} and χ_{i2} be characters of V_{i1} and V_{i2} over $\mathbb{F}_p$, respectively. By [1, 25.10], $\chi_{i1}(x_i) = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\omega^{p^j}$, where $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{p^\ell}$, of order 2^{n-1}. It follows that $\chi_{i2}(x_i) = \chi_{i1}^{y_i}(x_i) = \chi_{i1}(x_i^{y_i^{-1}}) = \chi_{i1}(x_i^{-1}) = \Sigma_{j=0}^{\ell-1}\omega^{-p^j}$. It implies that $\chi_{i1}(x_i) = \chi_{i2}(x_i)$, and hence $\chi_{i1} = \chi_{i2}$, namely, as $\mathbb{F}_p\langle x_i\rangle$-modules, V_{i1} is isomorphic to V_{i2}, which is a contradiction, as claimed. Recall that V_i is an irreducible $\mathbb{F}_pH_i$-module, and can be decomposed into two irreducible $\mathbb{F}_p\langle x_i\rangle$-modules V_{i1} and V_{i2}, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. So we may assume that H_i is a subgroup of $\tilde{L}_i$, where $\tilde{L}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{GL}(1,p) \wr \mathrm{S}_2$, and thus G_i is a subgroup of $V_i{:}\tilde{L}_i$. Let $\tilde{L}_i = ((\beta_i, 1), (1, \beta_i), (12))$, where $o(\beta_i) = p-1$. Then $x_i = (\beta_i^{\frac{(p-1)r_i}{2^{n-1}}}, \beta_i^{-\frac{(p-1)r_i}{2^{n-1}}})$ and $y_i = (12)(\beta_i^{k_{i_1}}, \beta_i^{k_{i_2}})$, where r_i is as above, and $k_{i_1} + k_{i_2} \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}\,(\mathsf{mod}\ p-1)$ for each i.

Let $\vartheta_i = (1, \beta_i^{\frac{(p-1)r_i\lambda}{2^{n-1}}})$ or $(\beta_i^{\frac{p-1}{2}}, \beta_i^{\frac{(p-1)r_i\lambda}{2^{n-1}}})$, where λ is as above. For the former, $y_i^{\vartheta_i} = x_i^\lambda y_i$, and for the latter, $y_i^{\vartheta_i} = (x_i^\lambda y_i)^{-1}$. It follows that $G_i^{\vartheta_i} = G_i$, and hence ϑ_i induces an automorphism $\overline{\vartheta}_i$ of G_i where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Let $\overline{\vartheta} = \overline{\vartheta}_1\overline{\vartheta}_2\cdots\overline{\vartheta}_t$. Then $\overline{\vartheta}$ is an automorphism of G, and $y^{\overline{\vartheta}} = x^\lambda y$ or $(x^\lambda y)^{-1}$. By Sylow's Theorem, all elements of $G \setminus N$ are conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. That is, G is an REA group relative to N.

Case 2: Assume that $p^\ell \not\equiv 1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 2^n)$. For this case, $\ell = 2^a$, where $a \geqslant 0$. Since H_i acts imprimitively on V_i, it follows that H_i is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\ell, p) \wr \mathrm{S}_2$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Suppose that $a = 0$. Arguing similarly as Case 1, all elements of $G \setminus N$ are conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. That is to say, G is an REA group relative to N. Thus we may assume that $a \geqslant 1$. According to [8, Proposition 2.5], we conclude that $\langle x_i\rangle$ is a diagonal subgroup of $\overline{L}_i$, where $\overline{L}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{C}^2_{p^\ell-1}$. Let $\overline{L}_i = \langle(\gamma_i, 1), (1, \gamma_i)\rangle$, where $o(\gamma_i) = p^\ell - 1$ for each i. Then $x_i = (\gamma_i^{\frac{(p^\ell-1)r_{i_1}}{2^{n-1}}}, \gamma_i^{\frac{(p^\ell-1)r_{i_2}}{2^{n-1}}})$, where r_{i_1}, r_{i_2} are odd. In what follows, we regard $V_i{:}H_i$ as a subgroup of $V_i{:}(\mathrm{GL}(V_{i1}) \times \mathrm{GL}(V_{i_2}){:}\mathrm{S}_2)$, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. It is well-known that $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{GL}(\ell,p)}(M) \cong \mathrm{C}_{p^\ell-1}{:}\mathrm{C}_\ell$, where M is an abelian irreducible subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(\ell, p)$. By the assumption, we conclude that $p^{\frac{\ell}{2}} \not\equiv -1\,(\mathsf{mod}\ 2^{n-1})$. Applying the above results to each H_i, we conclude that H_i is a subgroup of R_i, where R_i

is isomorphic to $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(1,p^\ell)\wr \mathrm{S}_2$. It further implies that G_i is a subgroup of $V_i{:}R_i$. Let $R_i = \langle \overline{L}_i, (\tau_i,1), (1,\tau_i), (12)\rangle$, where $\gamma_i^{\tau_i} = \gamma_i^p$ and $o(\tau_i) = \ell$ for each i. By easy calculations, the only possibility is that either $y_i = (12)(\gamma_i^{\overline{k}_{i_1}}, \gamma_i^{\overline{k}_{i_2}})$, where $\overline{k}_{i_1} + \overline{k}_{i_2} \equiv \frac{p^\ell-1}{2} \pmod{p^\ell-1}$, or $y_i = (12)(\tau_i^{\ell_{i_1}}, \tau_i^{\ell_{i_2}})(\gamma_i^{\overline{k}_{i_1}}, \gamma_i^{\overline{k}_{i_2}})$, where $\ell_{i_1}+\ell_{i_2} = \ell$ $(\ell_{i_1}, \ell_{i_2} > 0)$, $p^{\ell_{i_t}} r_{i_s} + r_{i_t} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{n-1}}$, $p^{\ell_{i_t}}\overline{k}_{i_s} + \overline{k}_{i_t} \equiv \frac{p^\ell-1}{2} \pmod{p^\ell-1}$, $s+t=3$, and s,t are positive integers. For the former, $r_{i_1} \equiv -r_{i_2} \pmod{2^{n-1}}$, and hence G is an REA group relative to N by the analogous discussion of Case 1. For the latter, let $m_{i_1} = \frac{(p^{\ell_{i_1}}+1)r_{i_2} + (p^{\ell_{i_2}}+1)r_{i_1}}{2^{n-1}}$, and $m_{i_2} = \frac{(p^{\ell_{i_1}}-1)r_{i_2} - (p^{\ell_{i_2}}-1)r_{i_1}}{2^{n-1}}$. Set

$$\mu_i = (\gamma_i^{\frac{(m_{i_1}+m_{i_2})\lambda}{2}}, \gamma_i^{\frac{(m_{i_1}-m_{i_2})\lambda}{2}}) \text{ or } (\gamma_i^{\frac{(m_{i_1}+m_{i_2})\lambda}{2}+\overline{k}_{i_1}}, \gamma_i^{\frac{(m_{i_1}-m_{i_2})\lambda}{2}-\overline{k}_{i_2}}),$$

where $r_i, \overline{r}_i, \lambda$ are as above. By easy calculations, $y_i^{\mu_i} = x_i^\lambda y_i$ or $(x_i^\lambda y_i)^{-1}$, respectively, which follows that μ_i induces an automorphism $\overline{\mu}_i$ of G_i, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. Let $\overline{\mu} = \overline{\mu}_1\overline{\mu}_2\cdots\overline{\mu}_t$. Then $\overline{\mu}$ is an automorphism of G, and $y^{\overline{\mu}} = x^\lambda y$ or $(x^\lambda y)^{-1}$. By Sylow's Theorem, all elements of $G\setminus N$ are conjugate under $\mathsf{Aut}(G)$. Therefore, G is an REA group with respect to N, as in Lemma 1.5 (iii). □

This has an immediate consequence regarding the complete bipartite graph.

Corollary 8.2. *There are infinitely many complete bipartite graphs which are normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of Frobenius groups.*

Proof. For any integer $n \geqslant 3$, there are infinitely many primes p such that $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^n}$, refer to Dirichlet's Theorem. Then 2^n is a primitive divisor of p^2-1. By the choice of p, we obtain that 2^{n+1} is a divisor of p^2-1.

For any integer ℓ, let $G_i = V_i{:}H_i \cong \mathrm{C}_p^2{:}\mathrm{Q}_{2^{n+1}}$ be a Frobenius group, where $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. Write $H_i = \langle x_i, y_i\rangle$, where $\langle x_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{2^n}$, and $\langle y_i\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_4$ for each i. Let $V = V_1 \times V_2 \times \cdots \times V_\ell$, and $H = \langle x, y\rangle$ with $x = x_1\cdots x_\ell$, and $y = y_1\cdots y_\ell$. Let $G = V{:}H$. Then G is a Frobenius group. By Theorem 1.5, $G = \mathrm{C}_p^{2\ell}{:}\mathrm{Q}_{2^{n+1}}$ is an REA group relative to $N = \mathrm{C}_p^{2\ell}{:}\mathrm{C}_{2^n}$. Let $\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(G, G\setminus N)$. It follows from Lemma 8.1 that Γ is a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph of G. This completes the proof. □

We now present an example of a normal edge-transitive complete bipartite graph.

Example 8.3. Let $G = V{:}H \cong \mathrm{C}_7^2{:}\mathrm{Q}_{16} < \mathrm{AGL}(2,7)$. Let $H = \langle x, y\rangle$, where $\langle x\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_8$, and $\langle y\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_4$. By the definition, $\langle x\rangle$ acts faithfully and irreducibly on V. Note that H has a unique involution, it follows that G is a Frobenius group.

By [8, Proposition 2.5], we may assume that G is a subgroup of $\mathrm{A}\Gamma\mathrm{L}(1,7^2)$. Let $\Gamma\mathrm{L}(1,7^2) = \langle\alpha\rangle{:}\langle\sigma\rangle \cong \mathrm{C}_{48}{:}\mathrm{C}_2$. By Hall's Theorem, we may write

$$x = \alpha^{6r_1} \text{ and } y = \sigma\alpha^{3r_2},$$

where r_1, r_2 are odd. Let $N = \mathrm{C}_7^2{:}\mathrm{C}_8$. Then $G\setminus N$ consists of all elements of order 4. Let $z = \alpha^{r_1\lambda}$ or $\alpha^{4r_2+r_1\lambda}$, where $1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 7$. It is evident to see that $y^z = x^\lambda y$ or $(x^\lambda y)^{-1}$, respectively. Thus z induces an automorphism $\overline{z}$ of G. By Sylow's Theorem, G is an REA group with respect to N. Set

$$\Gamma = \mathsf{Cay}(G, G\setminus N).$$

By Lemma 8.1, Γ is a normal edge-transitive complete bipartite graph $\mathbf{K}_{392,392}$.

References

[1] M. Aschbacher, Finite Group Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[2] N. Biggs, Algebraic Graph Theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[3] J. N. Bray, D. F. Holt, C. M. Roney-Dougal, The maximal subgroups of the low-dimensional finite classical groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
[4] R. W. Carter, Simple groups and simple Lie algebras, *J. London Math. Soc.* **40** (1965) 193-240.
[5] R. W. Carter, Simple Groups of Lie Type, Wiley, London, 1989.
[6] C. Chevalley, Sur certains groupes simples, *Tôhoku Math. J.* (2) **7** (1955) 14-66.
[7] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, R. A. Wilson, Atlas of Finite Groups, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1985.
[8] A. S. Detinko, D. L. Flannery, Nilpotent primitive linear groups over finite fields, *Comm. Algebra*. **33** (2005) 497-505.
[9] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
[10] C. D. Godsil, On the full automorphism group of a graph, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981) 243-256.
[11] D. Gorenstein, I. N. Herstein, Finite groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 4, *Amer. J. Math.* **83** (1961) 71-78.
[12] E. I. Huhro, Finite groups that admit a 2-automorphism without fixed point, *Mat. Zametki.* **23** (1978) 651-657.
[13] P. B. Kleidman, M. W. Liebeck, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[14] C. H. Li, L. Wang, Relative Elementary Abelian Groups, and a class of edge-transitive Cayley graphs, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **100** (2016) 241-251.
[15] R. P. Martineau, Solubility of groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism group of type (p, p), *Math. Z.* **124** (1972) 67-72.
[16] C. E. Praeger, Finite normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **60** (1999) 207-220.
[17] P. Rowley, Finite groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism group, *J. Algebra.* **174** (1995) 724-727.
[18] G. M. Seitz, Topics in the theory of algebraic groups, Group representation theory, EPFL Press, Lausanne, (2007) 355-404.
[19] H. S. Sim, Degrees of irreducible representations of metacyclic groups, *Comm. Algebra*. **10** (1993) 3773-3777.
[20] R. Steinberg, Automorphisms of finite linear groups, *Can. J. Math.* **12** (1960) 606-615.
[21] D. E. Taylor, The Geometry of the Classical Groups, Heldermann-Verlag, Berlin, 1991.
[22] J. G. Thompson, Finite groups with fixed point free automorphisms of prime order, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **45** (1959) 578-581.
[23] R. A. Wilson, The Finite Simple Groups, Springer, 2009.
[24] M. Y. Xu, Automorphism groups and isomorphisms of Cayley digraphs, *Discrete Math.* **182** (1998) 309-319.

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, P. R. China

E-mail address: wanglei-2468@163.com

Department of Mathematics, Yunnan Normal University, Kunming, 650500, P. R. China

E-mail address: liuyinjiayou@sina.com